UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,541	07/07/2000	Atsushi Nakamura	1374.34189R00	9061
	7590 04/21/2009 TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP		EXAMINER	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/613,541	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander O. Williams	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 104-138 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 104-138 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.		
	0.1		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/613,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/613541 Attorney's Docket #: 501.34189R))

Filing Date: 7/7/2000; The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No.

08/570646, filed on 5/25/1995 and 12/20/94.

Applicant: Nakamura et al.

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Applicant's Amendment, filed 9/30/08 has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-103 are cancelled.

The reissue oath/declaration filed on 2/11/08 is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

Claims 104-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 251. See MPEP 1414. The original declaration is defective and does not comply with all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63 and 37 CFR 1.175. The declaration does not specify the "at least one" error. The error upon which the reissue is based is described to be less than the applicants were entitled to claim by failing to claim the subject matter in broadened new claims 29-90. Claims 29-90 have been cancelled.

Applicant's only error specified to support reissue is the failure to include one or more claims that is/are narrower than at least one of the existing patent claims(s) without an allegation that one or more of the broader patent claim(s) is/are too broad together with an amendment to such claim(s), does not meet the requirements of 35 USC§251.

The oath or declaration must identify at least one error being relied upon as a basis for the reissue and that it is indeed an appropriate error for reissue (37 CFR 1.175 (a) (1)). For example, "failure to include the following claims in the original patent..." is not an

acceptable statement of an error. Specific changes or amendments to the claims must be identified. If new claims are presented, their differences from the originals claims must be pointed out. See MPEP 1414.

The error in the declaration filed 10/19/2006 is not specific enough under MPEP 1414(II)(C). The assignee describes the error in terms of the new claims not in terms of the patent claims. As stated in MPEP 1414(II)(C) "(a)ny error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claims(s) and the specific claim language wherein lies the error." The present declaration states that "in comparing new claim 26 with the orginal patent claims 1 and 11 it can be seen that both the orginal patent claims 1 and 11 used the terminology that "the semiconductor pellet is mounted face down on the rigid substrate" still does not describe the error in the original patent claim. Claim 26 has been cancelled. This does not tell what the error is with the original patent claims. As further stated in MPEP 1414(II)(C), "applicant has not pointed out what the other claims (i.e., patent claims) lacked that the newly added claim has or visa- versa."

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Claims 104-138 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2826

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The related application information should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 105-108, 110-113, 115, 117, 119, 121 and 123-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 105-108, 110-113, 115, 117, 119, 121 and 123-138, the phrase "A semiconductor device" should be —The semiconductor device--.

Any of claims 105-108, 110-113, 115, 117, 119, 121 and 123-138 not specifically addressed above are rejected as being dependent on one or more of the claims which have been specifically objected to above.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 104-138, **insofar as some of them can be understood**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinrichsmeyer et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,996,587) in view of Kondo et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,438,478) and further in view of Akram et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,674,785) and in further view of Takier et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,422,435).

For example, in claims 104 and similar claims 109, 114, 116, 118, 120, and 122, Hinrichsmeyer et al. (figures 1 to 7) specifically **figure 5** show a semiconductor device **20** comprising: a rigid substrate **10** having a first main surface and a second main surface opposite to the first main surface; a semiconductor pellet **19** mounted on the first main surface **23** of the rigid substrate, the semiconductor pellet having a plurality of semiconductor circuit elements (inherit) and a plurality of bonding pads **21**; a plurality of electrode pads formed on the second main surface of the rigid substrate; and a plurality of bonding wires **22** for electrically connecting the bonding pads of the semiconductor pellet with the electrode pads; wherein the semiconductor pellet is mounted facedown on the rigid substrate, the rigid substrate has slits **13** that extend from the first main surface to the second main surface and expose the bonding pads of the semiconductor pellet, the bonding wires extend through the slits in the rigid substrate to connect the bonding pads and the electrode pads and bump electrodes **25** are formed on said electrode pads. Hinrichsmeyer et al. fail to explicitly show a rigid substrate formed by glass fibers impregnated with epoxy or polyimide resins.

Kondo et al. is cited for showing electronic component carriers. Specifically, Kondo et al. (figures 1 to 32) specifically figures 3 and 4 discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a rigid substrate 10 having a first main surface and a second main surface opposite to the first main surface; a semiconductor pellet 34 mounted within the rigid substrate, the semiconductor pellet having a plurality of semiconductor circuit elements (inherit) and a plurality of bonding pads (inherent); a plurality of electrode pads 28 formed on the second main surface of the rigid substrate; and a plurality of bonding wires 38 for electrically connecting the bonding pads of the semiconductor pellet with the electrode pads; wherein the semiconductor pellet is facedown in the rigid substrate, the rigid substrate has slits 12 that extend from the first main surface to the second main surface and expose the bonding pads of the semiconductor pellet, the

Application/Control Number: 09/613,541

Art Unit: 2826

bonding wires connecting the bonding pads and the electrode pads; and the rigid substrate formed by glass fibers impregnated with epoxy or polyimide resins for the purpose of enhancing the lifetime and reliability of a connection between a chip and a substrate.

Page 6

(2) FIG. 3 schematically shows a first embodiment of the electronic component carrier according to the invention. Ιn FIG. 3, a printed wiring substrate 10 (thickness: 0.2 mm) formed by laminating copper foils onto both surfaces of a base material, which is obtained by impregnating a glass cloth with bismaleimide triazine <u>resin</u>, is provided at its central portion with a cavity 12 for mounting a given electronic component (e.g. semiconductor element) and through-holes 14 are formed in the substrate at given positions. The inner surface of the substrate constituting the through-hole 14 is first subjected to a copper plating and then to a nickel plating and further to a gold plating. On the other hand, a lead frame 20 composed of a given metal foil (e.g., MF202-H made by Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, thickness: 0.15 mm) is disposed on an upper surface of the substrate 10 in place, and a top portion of each inner lead 22 is subjected to a silver plating for the connection to a gold wire as mentioned later. Furthermore, an outer lead 24 is extended outward from the respective inner lead 22 in the lead frame so as to connect to the other circuit or the like in a given assembling operation. The printed wiring substrate 10 and the lead frame 20 are joined to each other through a layer 26 of an adhesive composed of an epoxy resin. The electronic component carrier shown in FIG. 3 corresponds to multipin-type QFP and is shown as only one piece of the lead frame for multiple pattern. As the printed wiring substrate, use may be made of a laminate of glass cloths each impregnated with a heatresistant insulating resin such as epoxy resin, polyimide resin, Teflon (trade name) or the like, ceramic laminate and so on in addition to the above laminate covered at both surfaces with copper foils. In the embodiment of FIG. 3, a ground ring 28 for earth is connected to the conductor pattern formed on the rear surface side of the substrate 10 through the through-hole to reduce lead inductance, whereby the degree of freedom in the pattern design for the substrate 10 is improved.

(3) FIG. 4 shows a sectional view taken along a line IV--IV of FIG. 3. As shown in FIG. 4, the inner lead 22 of the lead frame 20 or the neighborhood thereof is joined to the front surface of the printed wiring substrate 10 through the adhesive layer 26 formed in place around the cavity 12. In this case, the

Art Unit: 2826

substrate 10 is subjected to C-face working in order to improve the shapability in mold. The adhesive layer 26 is composed of a thermosetting resin having a high heat resistance such as epoxy resin, polyimide resin, triazine resin or the like. When the thermosetting resin is used as an adhesive, it is desirable that an amount of ionic impurities such as Cl.sup.- and so on is low (not more than 10 ppm).

Hinrichsmeyer et al. fail to explicitly show a height of said bump electrodes is greater than a thickness of said resin sealing body from said second surface of said substrate in a thickness direction of said semiconductor pellet.

Akram et al. (figures 1 to 11) specifically figures 6 and 12C discloses show a semiconductor device comprising: a substrate 12E having a first surface, a second surface opposite to said first surface, electrode pads 90 formed on said second surface and a slit (via within 12E) passing through said substrate from said first surface to said second surface; a semiconductor pellet 18 having a circuit system and bonding pads (not shown, but inherent) formed on a main surface thereof, said semiconductor pellet being mounted over said substrate such said that said main surface of said semiconductor pellet is faced to said first surface of said substrate and said bonding pads are arranged in said slit in a plan view; bonding wires 32 electrically connecting said electrode pads of said substrate with said bonding pads of said semiconductor pellet via said slit, a resin sealing body 36E sealing said bonding wires, said resin sealing body including a first portion on said first surface of said substrate, a second portion on said second surface of said substrate and a third portion in said slit, said first to third portions of said resin sealing body being formed in unitary to one another; and bump electrodes 16 formed on said second surface of said substrate such that said bump electrodes are electrically connected to said electrode pads of said substrate and a height of said bump electrodes is greater than a thickness of said resin sealing body from said second surface of said substrate in a thickness direction of said semiconductor pellet for the purpose of providing access for electrical interconnection through the interconnect opening alignments with bond pads on the die.

The combined references show the features of the claimed invention as detailed above, but fail to explicitly show the first and second bump electrodes being arranged to overlap with said semiconductor pellet in said plain view respectively.

Art Unit: 2826

Takiar et al. is cited for showing a stacked multi-chip modules and method of manufacturing. Specifically, Takiar et al. (figure 11) discloses show the first and second bump electrodes **236** being arranged to overlap with said semiconductor pellet **212** in said plain view respectively for the purpose of providing access for electrical interconnection through the interconnect opening alignments with bond pads on the die.

In claim 105, the combination with Hinrichsmeyer et al. show said row of bonding pads **21** is disposed at a substantially central area between said first pair of opposed edges of said semiconductor pellets.

In claim 106, the combination with Hinrichsmeyer et al. show wherein said semiconductor pellet **19** has a rectangular shape, and wherein said first pair of opposed edges correspond to a pair of longer edges and said second pair of opposed edges correspond to a pair of shorter edges.

In claim 107, the combination with Hinrichsmeyer et al. show wherein said slit **13** tapered so that an opening on said second surface of substrate is greater than an opening on said first surface of said substrate.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to use Takier et al.'s overlapped first and second electrodes and use Akram et al.'s bump height greater than the resin and use Kondo et al's glass impregnated with epoxy or polyimide resin in the substrate and features to modify Hinrichsmeyer et al.'s substrate and features for the purpose of enhancing the lifetime and reliability of a connection between a chip and a substrate.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/08 have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive in view of the outstanding grounds of rejections detailed above.

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272 1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander O Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2826